SSC-177

Guide for Interpretation

ł

of

Non-Destructive Tests of Welds

m

Ship Hull Structures

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

MEMBER AGENCIES:

BUREAU OF SHIPS, DEPT. OF NAVY MILITARY SEA TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, DEPT. OF NAVY UNITED STATES COAST GUARD, TREASURY DEPT. MARITIME ADMINISTRATION, DEPT. OF COMMERCE AMERICAN BUREAU OF SHIPPING

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO:

SECRETARY SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE U, S. COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON, D. C. 20226

September 1966

Dear Sir:

In connection with its work of fostering research towards improving hull structures and making the results available to ship designers and shipbuilders, the Ship Structure Committee has published Report SSC-177, <u>Guide for Interpretation of Non-De-</u> structive Tests of Welds in Ship Hull Structures. A copy is furnished herewith.

Although the authors of the report brought much experience to bear upon the problem and consulted with others well informed in the field, it is expected that some of a wider body of readers may disagree with some of the content and have recommendations as to improved presentation or other material that should be included. It will, therefore, be appreciated if after review of the publication, you will furnish to the Secretary of the Ship Structure Committee, any suggestions that you may have for its improvement.

Sincerely yours,

JOHN B. OREN Rear Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard Chairman

SSC-177

GUIDE FOR INTERPRETATION OF NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTS OF WELDS IN SHIP HULL STRUCTURES

Prepared for the SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

by the

Weld Flaw Evaluation Committee

National Academy of Sciences -- National Research Council

Washington, D. C. National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council September 1966 This is a report of work under Contract No. NObs-90310 between the Bureau of Ships, Department of the Navy and The National Academy of Sciences

The Academy and its Research Council perform study, evaluation or advisory functions through groups composed of individuals selected from academic, governmental and industrial sources for their competence or interest in the subject under consideration. The members serve as individuals contributing their personal knowledge and judgements and not as representatives of any organization in which they are employed or with which they may be associated.

The opinions and conclusions presented in this report are those of the Weld Flaw Evaluation Committee and not necessarily those of the Ship Structure Committee nor of the Department of the Navy.

> Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

FOREWORD

At the present time the shipbuilding industry, as concerned with general cargo tanker and passenger ship construction, does not have a uniformly accepted procedure for examining and comparing the quality of welds in such ships. As an attempt to satisfy this need the accompanying "guide" has been prepared.

The Committee preparing this "guide" possesses broad experience in nondestructive testing. In addition, they reviewed currently available codes and Standards such as "Radiographic Standards for Welds" CG-115-1 January 2, 1965, "Radiographic Standards for Production and Repair Welds" (NAVShips 250-692-2), ASTM reference radiographs, those of The American Welding Society, and the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.

The resulting "guide" has been developed by modifying some of the above codes for structures other than ship hulls as it was the general belief of the committee that a slightly higher level of some types of flaws such as slag inclusions could be tolerated in ship hull welds. Retention of the positive rejection principle for the potential failure initiating type flaws such as cracks, lack of penetration, etc., maintains the essential integrity of the weld without excessive demands that might adversely influence cost. Experience in the shipbuilding industry supports the belief that this "guide" will result in ship welds satisfactory in every respect.

THE WELD FLAW EVALUATION COMMITTEE

W. W. Offner, Chairman
 President
 X-ray Engineering International
 Div. of Atomic Supply Corp.

B. B. Burbank Chief Metallurgist and Chemist Bath Iron Works Corporation M. S. Northup Senior Engineering Associate Esso Research & Engineering Company

W. J. Lester Welding Engineer Todd Shipyards Corp. Los Angeles Division

R. A. Pomfret Engineer Applications Engineering Homer Research Laboratory Bethlehem Steel Company

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

.

Visual	•		•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	
Radiography		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	
Magnetic Pa	art	i	c1	е		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11	
Liquid Dye	P∈	n	et	:ra	an	t		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13	
Ultrasonic		-	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•		•			•	•		•		•	15	

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE

The SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE is constituted to prosecute a research program to improve the hull structure of ships by an extension of knowledge pertaining to design, materials and methods of fabrication.

> Rear Admiral John B. Oren, USCG - Chairman Chief, Office of Engineering U. S. Coast Guard Headquarters

Captain W. M. Nicholson, USN Assistant Chief of Bureau of Design Shipbuilding and Fleet Maintenance Naval Ship Engineering Center

Captain P. E. Shetenhelm, USN Maintenance and Repair Officer Military Sea Transportation Service

Mr. D. B. Bannerman, Jr. Vice President - Technical American Bureau of Shipping

SHIP STRUCTURE SUBCOMMITTEE

The Ship Structure Subcommittee acts for the Ship Structure Committee on technical matters by providing technical coordination for the determination of goals and objectives of the pro gram, and by evaluating and interpreting the results in terms of ship structural design, con struction and operation.

NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER

Captain S. R. Heller, USN - Chairman 🔒 Mr. John Vasta - Contract Administrator Mr. George Sorkin - Member Mr. T. J. Griffin - Alternate Mr. Ives Fioriti - Alternate

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Mr. R. W. Black - Member Mr. Anatole Maillar - Alternate

AMERICAN BUREAU OF SHIPPING

Mr. G. F. Casey - Member Mr. F. J. Crum - Member

DAVID TAYLOR MODEL BASIN

Mr. A. B. Stavovy

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Mr, J. M. Crowley - Member Dr. G. R. Irwin - Alternate Dr. Wm. G. Rauch - Alternate

MILITARY SEA TRANSPORTATION SERVICE

LCDR Donald B. Bosley, USN - Member Mr. R. R. Askren - Member

U. S. COAST GUARD

LCDR Claude R. Thompson, USCG - Member LCDR R. Nielsen, Jr., USCG - Member Mr. J. B. Robertson, Jr. - Member LCDR J. F. Lobkovich, USCG - Alternate

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES~ NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

Mr. A. R. Lytle - Director, Ship Hull Research Committee

Mr. R. W. Rumke - Executive Secretary

AMERICAN IRON AND STEEL INSTITUTE

Mr. J. R. LeCron

LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES

BRITISH NAVY STAFF

Mr. A. C. Law Construction Commander T. R. Rumens, RCNC

WELDING RESEARCH COUNCIL

Mr. K. K. Koopman, Director Mr. Charles Larson, - Assistant Director

GUIDE FOR INTERPRETATION OF NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTS OF WELDS IN SHIP HULL STRUCTURES

This document relates to welds in ship hulls of the general cargo, tanker and passenger class as differentiated from naval ships.

Visual

Interpretation Standards

Fillet and butt-type welds should be inspected visually for conformance to the requirements shown in Fig. 1 for size, convexity, concavity, undercut, overlap, leg, throat, and excessive weld irregularities.

Radiography*

Test Method

The procedures and guides set forth in this section are applicable to the radiographic inspection of groove welds in butt joints only, provided that the radiography is performed with qualified personnel and procedures.

Radiographs shall be made by either X-ray or gamma-ray and shall determine quantitatively the size of the defects having thickness equal to or greater than 2 per cent of the thickness of the thinner of the parts joined by the weld under examination.

^{*}The radiographer shall be responsible for the protection and personnel monitoring of every man working with or near X-ray gamma radiation. This protection and monitoring shall comply with all pertinent A. E. C. and state health regulations. All radiographers should wear film badges and/or pocket dosimeters. A radiation survey meter should be on site at all times. The area in which radiography is performed should be properly roped off and posted as per A. E. C., and state safety regulations.



FIG. 1. Visual Inspection Standards.

Radiographs shall be clean, free of film processing defects, and shall have a density of not less than 1.5 as judged by density comparison strips or a densitometer. Any density above 1.5 is acceptable provided it can be interpreted by a sufficiently intense illuminator.

Where accessible surfaces to be radiographed have valleys and undercuts between weld beads, weld ripples or other surface irreqularities, grinding the film side smooth is usually satisfactory so that the resulting radiographic contrast cannot mask or be confused with that of any defect. Penetrameter

When weld reinforcement or backing is not removed, shims of radiographically similar material to the base material shall be placed under the penetrameter so that the total thickness of steel between the penetrameter and the film is at least equal to the average thickness of the weld, measured through its reinforcement and backing, if any is used. The outline of the shim should be visible on the film.

As a check on the radiographic technique employed, penetrameters, as described in Fig. 2, should be used in the following manner to determine whether the requirements of sensitivity are met. The smallest hole in the penetrameter should be distinguishable on the radiograph.

At least one penetrameter should be used for each exposure. It should be placed on the side of the base material nearest the radiation source so that it will appear near one end of the film but not on the weld in the area to be interpreted.

The material of the penetrameter and shim should be substantially the same as that of the plate under examination.

The thickness of the penetrameter should be in accordance with Fig. 2 based on the weld thickness of the thinner plate being radiographed.

-3-



PENETRAMETERS 12 - 50 INCL.

Note C:

For penetrameters of 0.005 in. to 0.020 in. thickness, inclusive, the thickness tolerance is plus or minus .001 in. For penetrameters from 0.025 in. to 0.050 in. thickness, inclusive, the thickness tolerance is plus or minus 0.025 in. Each penetrameter shall carry umbers at least three thirty-

Note B:

numbers at least three thirtysecond of an inch high which identify the material of which it is made and indicate the thickness of plate to two significant figures for which it may be used.

WELD THICKNESS	THICKNESS OF <u>PENETRAMETER</u>	DESIGNATION ON <u>PENETRAMETER</u>
Up to $\frac{1}{4}$ inch inclusive	0.005 in.	5
Over $\frac{i}{4}$ in thru $\frac{3}{8}$ in.	0.0075 in.	7
Over 훓 in. thru 날 in	0.010 in.	10
Over ½ in thru § in	0.012 5 in.	12
Over $\frac{5}{8}$ in thru $\frac{3}{4}$ in.	0.015 in.	15
Over $\frac{3}{4}$ in thru $\frac{7}{8}$ in	0.0175 in	17
Over $\frac{7}{8}$ in thru 1 in.	0.020 in.	20
Over I in thru $l\frac{1}{4}$ in.	0.025 in.	25
Over $1\frac{1}{4}$ in, thru $1\frac{1}{2}$ in.	0.030 in.	30
Over $l\frac{1}{2}$ in thru 2 in.	0 035 in.	35
Over 2 in. thru $2\frac{1}{2}$ in.	0.040 in.	40
Over $2\frac{1}{2}$ in thru 3 in	0.045 in.	45
Over 3 in. thru 4 in.	0 050 in.	50

FIG. 2. Penetrameter Requirements.

.



FIG. 3. Radiographic Film with Markings.

Film location markers, the image of which will appear on the film, should be placed adjacent to the weld and their locations shall be accurately marked on the base metal so that a defect appearing on the radiograph may be accurately located for repair if necessary before final acceptance. Indentification of each film should be essentially as shown in Fig. 3.

All production radiographs showing defects should be compared directly with the weld when doubt exists as to whether the defect in question is a result of surface imperfections or sub-surface defects.



FIG. 4. Radiographic Print of a Crack.



FIG. 5. Radiographic Print of Piping.

Interpretation_Standards

It is not the object of this document to designate the location or extent of the inspection on a ship's hull but rather to provide guides for the interpretation of such radiographs by qualified personnel. Prints of radiographs showing the several types of typical weld defects are included.



FIG. 6. Radiographic Print of Incomplete Penetration.



FIG. 7. Radiographic Print of Lack of Fusion.

- Welds which contain cracks are unacceptable. (Fig. 4.)
- Welds which contain piping are unaccaptable. (Fig. 5.)
- Welds which contain incomplete penetration (Fig. 6.) or lack of fusion (Fig. 7.) are unacceptable.



FIG. 8. Radiographic Print of Undercutting.



FIG. 9. Radiographic Print of Elongated Round-Edged Slag Inclusion.

4. Welds which show a V-shaped undercut more than 10% of material thickness or more than 1/32 in. in maximum depth and for a length greater than 1 in. are unacceptable. (Fig. 8.) Final decision on the degree of undercut should be made by visual inspection.



FIG. 10. Radiographic Print of Crack-Like Slag Inclusion.



FIG. 11. Radiographic Print of Multiple Inclusions.

- 5. Welds which contain slag inclusions in excess or the limits outlined below are unacceptable.
 - a. Welds with elongated round-edged slag inclusions (Fig. 9.) greater in length than 1/2 T, where T is the thickness of the plate.
 - b. Welds with elongated slag inclusions having crack-like indications as in Fig. 10.



FIG. 12. Radiographic Print of Scattered Porosity illustrating acceptable distribution and number of voids.



FIG. 13. Radiographic Print of Maximum Acceptable Clustered Porosity.

c. Welds with multiple inclusions (Fig. 11.) smaller than 1/2 T, but whose cumulative length in any 6-in. length of weld exceeds the plate thickness or if the defects are separated by less than 6 L of acceptable weld metal, where L is the long dimension of the bigger inclusion. 6. Welds in which the radiographs show porosity should be judged unacceptable if they contain porosity in excess of the limits shown in Fig. 12 for scattered porosity and Fig. 13 for clustered porosity with either figure representing any portion of a thickweld radiograph being represented by any portion of the figures. Any discontinuity whose major dimension is 1/8 in. and less and not judged to be a crack should be classed as porosity.

Magnetic Particle

Test Method

The magnetic particle inspection method is used for determining the presence of discontinuities at or near the surface of ferro-magnetic metals. It is applicable to fillet as well as butt-welds. The dry powder test method as provided in ASTM Standard E 109-63 is recommended. This test may be used for locating cracks at or near surfaces; for examing chipped or ground cavities prior to repair welding; and for laminations on edges of wrought plate. Supplemental tests may be necessary to identify particular types of indications.



FIG. 14. Longitudinal Crack Indicated by Magnetic-Particle Inspection.



FIG. 15. Transverse Crack Indicated by Magnetic-Particle Inspection.



FIG. 16. Fillet Weld Toe Crack Indicated by Magnetic-Particle Inspection.



FIG. 17. Root Crack Indicated by Magnetic-Particle Inspection.



FIG. 18. Slag or Porosity Indicated by Magnetic-Particle Inspection.

Surfaces of parts should be dry and free of oil or any other material which might interfere with the formation or interpretation of magnetic particle patterns or indications.

The magnetic field should be induced and varied in such a manner as to insure detection of discontinuities having axes in any direction.

Interpretation Standards

Welds containing cracks are not acceptable. Closely spaced inline porosity, and/or slag may be interpreted for acceptance or rejection in accordance with the radiographic standards. Typical indications are shown in Figs. 14--18.

An indication of lack of penetration of fillet welds should be interpreted according to the contract weld design specifications for penetration.

Liquid Dye Penetrant

Test Method

The liquid penetrant test method as developed in ASTM Standard E-165-63 may be used for detecting the presence of discontinuities in ferrous or non-ferrous materials. Discontinuities not open to the surface will not appear, since penetration into an open defect is necessary before this method is operative.

Dye penetrant of the water washable type is recommended.

Surface of welds may be inspected without surface preparation or conditioning except as required to remove scale, and adhering materials. The cleaning method used should not close surface imperfections and interfere with the interpretation of results. As-welded surfaces, following the removal of slag should be considered suitable for liquid penetrant inspection without any grinding, provided the weld contour blends into the base metal without undercutting and the contour and surface finish of the weld is in accordance with applicable reguirements.



Interbead and Marginal Indications by Liquid Penetrant. FIG. 19.



Porosity Indications by Liquid Penetrant.



Deep Crack Indications by Liquid Penetrant. FIG. 21.



Crack and Slag Indications by Liquid Penetrant. F1G. 22.

The temperature of the penetrant and the part to be inspected should be maintained between 50 F and 100 F.

The test should be conducted by applying penetrant thoroughly, removing it, and developing it in accordance with the instructions from the penetrant manufacturer.

Interpretation Standards

Welds containing cracks are unacceptable. Welds containing closely spaced in-line porosity may be interpreted for acceptance or rejection in accordance with the radiographic standards. Typical indications are shown in Figs. 19 - 22.

Ultrasonic

In its present rapidly developing state as a manual inspection method, the generic process is recognized primarily for its flexibility and hence more ready applicability to primary exploration for weld quality. This holds specially for the types of welded assemblies encountered in ship hulls. Broader experimental use of this method is recommended so that, as a result of experience, bases can be developed for (1) completely adaptable equipment; (2) positive interpretation of test indications under diverse conditions. The process is therefore recommended as a survey method, its indications to be checked by radiography.

Where operator proficiency in ultrasonic detection has been demonstrated by comparing its results with radiography, ultrasonic inspection may be used in conjunction with radiography. Evaluation of ultrasonic indications shall be based on a correlation with radiographic acceptance standards.

NONE										
Security Classification										
DULUN (Security classification of title, body of abstract	AENI CONTROL DATA -	R&D	the overall report is classified)							
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REP	DRT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION							
			UNCLASS IF IED							
Ship Structure Committee	25 GRO	25 GROUP								
3. REPORT TITLE										
GUIDE FOR INTERPRETATION OF NON-	-DESTRUCTIVE TESTS	OF WELDS	IN SHIP HULL STRUCTURE							
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive	dates)									
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)										
Weld Flaw Evaluation Committee c	of the Ship Hull Re	search Co	mmittee							
6. REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. C	DF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS							
September 1966	15									
Ba. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR	'S REPORT NU	MBER(S)							
Buships NObs - 90310	CCC 17									
	550 - 17	1								
с.	95. OTHER REPO this report)	DRT NO(S) (An	y other numbers that may be assigned							
d.										
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES	<u> </u>									
Unlimited			-							
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING	MILITARY ACT	TIVITY							
	Bureau of	Ships, D	ept. of the Navy							
	Washingto	n, D.C.								
13. ABSTRACT A SUrvey was made of va	rious Codes and St	andards a	pplicable to the							
interpretation of radiographs of	welds and the Gui	de has be	en developed for							
application to welds in ship hul	l structures of th	e general	cargo, tanker and							
passenger class as differentiate	d from naval ships	. The Gui	de exhibits typical							
X-ray and other non-destructive	test results of se	veral cla	sses of defects with							
recommended as acceptable for sh	aximum size and/or	distribu	tion that would be							
recommended as acceptable for sh	ith unite.									
DD FORM 1473	,									
		Se	NONE							

-

-

.

MONT

NUNE										
14.	Li	NK A	LINI	кв	LINKC					
KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	wτ	ROLE	WT				
Ship Hull Structures										
					}					
INSTRU	CTIONS		•		<u></u>					
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of De- fense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.	 imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as: (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC." 									
2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the over- all security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accord- ance with appropriate security regulations.	 (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized." (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC 									

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through

users shall request through

(5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, roles, and weights is optional.

> NONT Security Classification

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES-NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING

The Ship Hull Research Committee undertakes research service activities in the general fields of materials, design, and fabrication, as relating to improved ship hull structure, when such activities are accepted by the Academy as part of its functions. The Committee recommends research objectives and projects; provides liaison and technical guidance to such studies; reviews project reports; and stimulates productive avenues of research.

SHIP HULL RESEARCH COMMITTEE

Chairman: Mr. T. M. Buermann Gibbs & Cox. Inc.

Vice Chairman:

Vice Chairman:

Mr. Maurice L. Sellers Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Company Dr. J. M. Frankland Retired National Bureau of Standards

Members

Dr. H. Norman Abramson Director, Dept. of Mechanical Sciences Southwest Research Institute

Mr. Harold G. Acker Shipbuilding Division Bethlehem Steel Corporation

Mr. W. H. Buckley Chief, Airframe Criteria Structural Systems Department Bell Aerosystems Company

Mr. Alvin E. Cox Assistant Naval Architect Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Company

Dr. N. H. Jasper Technical Director U. S. Navy Mine Defense Laboratory

Mr. F. J. Joyce Manager Marine Design National Bulk Carriers, Inc.

Arthur R. Lytle Director Mr. William R. Jensen Structural Methods Engineer Grumman Aircraft Engineering Corporation

Mr. J. A. Kies Head, Ballistics Branch Mechanics Division Naval Research Laboratory

Dr. William R. Osgood Professor of Mechanics Catholic University of America

Dr. G. M. Sinclair Research Professor of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics University of Illinois

Mr. Merville Willis Naval Architect New York Shipbuilding Corporation

Professor Raymond A. Yagle Dept. of Naval Architecture & Marine Engineering University of Michigan

SHIP STRUCTURE COMMITTEE PUBLICATIONS

These documents are distributed by the Clearinghouse, Springfield, Va. 22151. These documents have been announced in the Technical Abstract Bulletin (TAB) of the Defense Documentation Center (DDC), Cameron Station, Alexandria, Va. 22314, under the indicated AD2 numbers. There is no charge for documents for registered users of the DDC services. Other users must pay the prescribed rate set by the Clearinghouse

Index of Ship Structure Committee Publications (1946 - April 1965)

SSC-163, Investigation of Bending Moments within the Midship Half Length of a Mariner Model infExtreme Waves by N. M. Maniar. June 1964. AD 605345

SSC-164, Results from Full-Scale Measurements of Midship Bending Stresses on Two C4-S-B5 Dry-Cargo Ships Operating in North Atlantic Service by D. J. Fritch, F. C. Bailey and N. S. Wise. September 1964. AD 605535

SSC-165, Local Yielding and Extension of a Crack Under Plane Stress by
 G. T. Hahn and A. R. Rosenfield. December 1964. AD 610039
 SSC-166, Reversed-Bend Tests of ABS-C Steel with As-Rolled and Machined Surfaces by K. Satoh and C. Mylonas. April 1965. AD A605755.

SSC-167, Restoration of Ductolity of flot or Cold Strained ABS-B Steel By Treatment at 700 to 1150 F by C. Mylonas and R. J. Beaulieu April 1965. AD 461705

SSC-168, Rolling History in Relation to the Toughness of Ship Plate by B. M. Kapadia and W. A. Backofen. May 1965. AD 465025

SSC-169, Interpretative Report on Weld-Metal Toughness by K. Masubuchi, R. E. Monroe and D. C. Martin. July 1965. AD, 466805

SSC-170, Studies of Some Brittle Fracture Concepts by R. N. Wright, W. J. Hall, S. W. Terry, W. J. Nordell and G. R. Erhard. September 1965. AD 476684

SSC-171, Micro-and Macrocrack Formation by B. L. Averbach. October 1965. AD 473496

SSC-172, Crack Extension and Propagation Under Plane Stress by A. R. Rosenfield, P. K. Dai and G. T. Hahn. March 1966. AD 480619